By Jennifer J. Otten, Victoria A. Bradford, Bert Stover, Heather D. Hill, Cynthia Osborne, Katherine Getts, and Noah Seixas

The Culture Of Health In Early Care And Education: Workers' Wages, Health, And Job Characteristics

DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2018.05493 HEALTH AFFAIRS 38, NO. 5 (2019): 709-720 This open access article is distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license.

ABSTRACT Little is known about the health of the 2.2 million early care and education (ECE) workers responsible for the care, well-being, and success of the approximately ten million children younger than age six enrolled in ECE, or the extent to which ECE environments and employers play a role in workers' health. The purpose of this analysis was to describe the health of an ECE worker sample by wage and by job and center characteristics and to begin to explore the relationships between these factors and workers' health. Our data indicate that ECE workers earn low wages and experience poor mental well-being and high rates of food insecurity. Lower-wage workers worked at centers with more children enrolled in subsidy programs and were more likely to work at centers that did not offer health insurance, paid sick leave, or parental or family leave. Policies and programs that raised workers' wages or mandated the provision of meals to both children and workers could better support teacher health and the quality of ECE for children. Our results suggest that the culture of health in ECE settings and equityrelated outcomes could be improved by helping centers provide support and flexibility to teachers (for example, offsetting workers' benefit costs or reducing teacher-to-child ratios to reduce stress) who are managing their own health in the context of demanding work.

Jennifer J. Otten (jotten@uw .edu) is an associate professor in the School of Public Health, University of Washington, in Seattle.

Victoria A. Bradford is a research coordinator in the School of Public Health, University of Washington.

Bert Stover is a clinical assistant professor in the School of Public Health, University of Washington.

Heather D. Hill is an associate professor in the Evans School of Public Policy and Governance, University of Washington.

Cynthia Osborne is an associate professor in the Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs, University of Texas at Austin.

Katherine Getts is a research coordinator in the School of Public Health, University of Washington.

Noah Seixas is a professor in the School of Public Health, University of Washington.

high-quality early care and education (ECE) workforce is central to the care, well-being, and success of the approximately ten million children younger than age six who are enrolled in ECE and are at their most critical stage of growth and development.^{1,2} Since the 1970s ECE professionals, scholars, and policy makers have recognized that workforce quality is critical to caregiving quality.² Accordingly, the focus of research and of federal and state initiatives has been on bolstering the quality of care, in large part through improving the education/ training and job satisfaction of workers and reducing staff turnover.^{1,2} However, little attention has been given to the health of the ECE workforce, how workers' health affects caregiving quality, whether ECE environments and employer supports play a role in this, and the extent to which local and state initiatives could be helpful. This gap in knowledge could be significant, given the role that ECE workers likely play in shaping the culture of health in ECE settings—that is, the environments, habits, and relationships related to physical and emotional health that are essential to young children's lifelong learning, health, and behavior.

The current 2.2 million paid ECE workers represent a highly vulnerable workforce.^{1,3} Women and people of low educational and socioeconomic status are overrepresented in this workforce.^{1,4} In 2017 ECE workers earned a median wage of \$10.72 per hour or \$22,290 per year, with 86 percent of ECE center-based educators working with infants and toddlers and 67 percent of those working with preschoolers (children ages 3-5) making less than \$15 an hour.^{1,5} In 2017 ECE workers earned less than two-thirds of the median for all occupations in all states.¹ These poverty-level wages are insufficient for ECE workers to meet their basic needs, and 43-54 percent of the workers are enrolled in public assistance programs (such as Medicaid)-a rate substantially higher than the 21 percent for elementary and middle school teachers.^{1,4} The material deprivation and stress associated with low income are known to have effects on people's health, including higher rates of chronic disease and shorter life expectancies.⁶⁻⁸ Recent studies suggest that 25-30 percent of ECE workers do not have health insurance.^{1,3,9} In addition to low wages, ECE working conditions are characterized by long hours, high turnover, and physically and emotionally demanding jobs, and there is some evidence to suggest that these conditions are related to adverse effects on mental well-being.^{10,11}

A handful of studies that examined the health of ECE teachers consistently found that they had higher rates of overweight, obesity, and chronic disease (hypertension, diabetes, asthma, and migraines), compared to national averages or to women with similar demographic characteristics.^{3,9,12-14} In some cases, these studies also found that ECE teachers have poorer mental health, higher levels of stress, and higher prevalence of several risky behaviors (such as smoking, lack of physical activity, and unhealthy diet) than population norms. Two studies found that ECE workers report clinically depressive symptoms at rates approximately two to five times greater than national averages, and another study found that the workers often feel emotionally strained and distressed, which is related in part to their work.^{3,11,15} However, very few studies have examined the possible relationships between job and worksite characteristics and workers' health or health behaviors.

More attention is needed to increase understanding of how the structure of ECE jobs might affect workers' health (that is, physical and mental well-being) and to consider health-supportive policy and program opportunities. The purpose of this analysis was to describe the health of a sample of ECE workers by wage and by job and center characteristics to begin to explore the relationships between these factors and workers' health. Dimensions of ECE jobs and centers that could influence the health and well-being of ECE workers include compensation (pay, benefits,

and leave), classroom structure (number of students and teacher-to-child ratios), center environment (aspects of workplace culture such as whether the center is for profit or nonprofit and what the minimum education requirements are for teachers), and centers' participation in subsidy and quality improvement programs. We hypothesized that lower-wage ECE workers would have poorer self-reported health and food security and would be more likely to be employed at centers that did not offer health insurance or paid sick or family leave. This study contributes to the literatures on the ECE workforce and ECE quality by exploring how worker and job characteristics, work conditions, and child care policies may influence the culture of health in ECE.¹⁶

Study Data And Methods

STUDY DESIGN The current analysis used baseline data from an ongoing study titled Exploring the Effects of Wage on the Culture of Health in Early Childhood Education Centers, which examines how wages and wage changes are affecting ECE workers' health and ECE care environments in Washington State and Texas. The study is collecting four waves of data in a prospective cohort over three years, taking advantage of wage changes resulting from recent city and state minimum wage laws: The hourly minimum wage will be \$15 in Seattle as of January 1, 2019, for Schedule 2 employers and \$13.50 in Washington State as of January 1, 2020, for all employers; there is no similar change in Austin, Texas, where the federal hourly minimum wage of \$7.25 remains in effect. The study was designed to shed light on the relationships between wages and wage changes, workers' health, and the provision of high-quality and healthful care. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Washington approved all protocols.

SAMPLE, RECRUITMENT, AND DATA COLLECTION In the period August–December 2017, we enrolled forty-nine ECE centers (sixteen in Seattle, sixteen in South King County, and seventeen in Austin) in the study. To be eligible for the study, centers had to serve children ages 0–6 and have no plans to close in the following two years. After centers were enrolled, study staff members visited each center to meet with directors and recruit workers. During the site visits, directors were given a center-focused questionnaire. The questionnaire asked about the wages, practices, and characteristics of their center, and measures relevant to this analysis are described below.

At the in-person worker recruitment meetings, study staff members explained the study and the consent process and collected contact information for workers interested in participating. Both full-time and part-time employees were eligible. All 504 workers who expressed interest were emailed a link to an online survey or mailed a paper copy of baseline surveys, according to their preference. The survey included several validated tools with questions about workers' wages and jobs, mental and physical well-being, food security, chronic diseases, and health behaviors. Specific survey measures are described below. In the period September 2017–January 2018, 366 workers completed baseline measures—144 (76 percent) in Seattle, 98 (65 percent) in South King County, and 124 (76 percent) in Austin.

To help provide further context to baseline findings, the study team conducted six ninetyminute focus groups in July 2018, one with directors and another with teachers at each of the three study sites. Directors were asked about the health of their staff, how workers' health affects their ability to care for children, and what their center does to support the health of its staff. Teachers were asked about aspects of their work that help or hinder their ability to take care of their own health. Both groups were asked to reflect on specific findings about workers' health from the baseline surveys. Additional details on the sample, recruitment, and data collection are in online appendix exhibit A1.¹⁷

MEASURES The worker survey collected information from teachers about job characteristics, work conditions, demographic characteristics, and self-reported health. In a separate survey, center directors provided information on center staffing structure, compensation, and participation in state subsidy and quality improvement programs. The variables used in this analysis are described below and in appendix exhibit A1.¹⁷

▶ MEDIAN WAGE CATEGORY: To examine differences between workers in higher- and lowerwage positions, we created a binary variable for worker hourly wage at the sample median for each of the three study sites and combined them into two median wage categories: hourly wage less than the site median and hourly wage greater than or equal to the site median. The sample hourly medians were \$17.35 in Seattle, \$14.08 in South King County, and \$14.82 in Austin.

▶ WORKERS' HEALTH: The 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) was used to assess both physical and mental well-being.¹⁸ The twentyitem Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale—Revised was used to measure depressive symptoms.¹⁹ Stress was measured using the fourteen-item Perceived Stress Scale.²⁰ Food security was measured using the validated six-item U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module.²¹ Questions that ask whether participants had ever been told by a doctor that they had high blood pressure, high cholesterol, or diabetes were sourced from the National Health Interview Survey.²² Body mass index was calculated from selfreported height and weight. To measure physical activity, participants completed the long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire,²³ which categorizes people into groups that have low, moderate, or high levels of activity. Diet was assessed with the thirty-item Dietary Screener Questionnaire.²⁴ Additionally, participants responded to standard questions about sleep and smoking behaviors.^{22,25}

► CENTER CHARACTERISTICS: A set of variables collected in the center director survey was appended to each worker's data according to the center at which they were employed. These included the number of staff members and children; average hourly wage; monthly enrollment fee for four-year-olds; profit status; National Association for the Education of Young Children accreditation; participation in the Quality Rating and Improvement System; participation in the Child and Adult Care Food Program, which provides reimbursements for meals that meet healthy criteria; receipt of state or city subsidies for care; provision of health insurance, paid sick leave, or parental or family leave to employees; and minimum education requirements for teachers.

ANALYSIS We calculated descriptive summary statistics for workers, their self-reported health, and their center or job characteristics by median wage category. We tested differences in health and center or job characteristics by median wage category, using chi-square tests for categorical variables and *t*-tests for continuous variables. Study team members conducted broad deductive coding of the focus-group transcripts based on the interview guide and wrote analytic memos summarizing the themes, key differences, and other notable findings using a common template.

LIMITATIONS This study had a few limitations. First, it was limited to three sites in two states and used nonprobability samples, which were not representative of all ECE centers or workers in the sites studied. Second, self-reporting of health conditions may have led to measurement error. Despite these limitations, this study contributes to greater understanding of the role of workplace health as a component of the culture of health in ECE by exploring the associations between wage, center and worker characteristics, and workers' health.

Study Results

The final sample included 366 ECE workers from forty-nine ECE centers. We present the characteristics of the centers in appendix exhibit A2.¹⁷ By design, the centers varied in terms of the number of children they served, the number of employees they had, and the average hourly wage they paid to full-time employees. Roughly half of the ECE centers were nonprofit, and the rest were for profit. Fifteen of them had minimum education requirements of more than a high school diploma or GED.

worker and job characteristics Exhibit 1 presents worker demographics by median hourly wage categories. The majority of workers were female (94 percent) and non-Hispanic white (68 percent). Fifteen percent were black or African American, and 21 percent were of Hispanic ethnicity. The majority of workers were full time, defined as working at least thirty-five hours a week (85 percent), had a college degree (65 percent), and had an average of ten years of experience in ECE. Only 19 percent were members of a professional ECE society. Twenty-three percent reported using any public food assistance. Only 10 percent did not have health insurance (additional detail on insurance is presented in exhibit 2).

Workers with lower wages were younger, had less education, and had worked fewer years in the industry, compared to their colleagues with higher wages (exhibit 1). In addition, those with lower wages were more likely to be nonwhite or of Hispanic ethnicity and to be enrolled in public food assistance programs.

SELF-REPORTED WORKER HEALTH Selfreported worker health is shown in exhibits 3 and 4. Workers in our sample experienced poor mental well-being and high rates of food insecurity (that is, they lacked the ability to consistently access enough food for an active and healthy life), with more mixed results for physical well-being and health behaviors. Further delineation of these findings and comparisons of our sample to other populations are provided in the "Discussion" section below (additional details are in appendix exhibit A4).¹⁷

WORKERS' CENTER CHARACTERISTICS The characteristics of the centers where our worker sample were employed are shown in exhibit 5 and analyzed in the "Discussion" section below. Overall, we found associations between lower wages and centers that served fewer children, had fewer employees, had lower student enrollment fees, served more subsidized families, and participated in the Child and Adult Care Food Program and the Quality Rating and Improvement System. In addition, lower wages were associated with centers that did not offer paid sick leave, health insurance, or parental or family leave.

FOCUS-GROUP FINDINGS Three key themes emerged from the focus groups that helped us

contextualize our survey findings (see illustrative quotes in appendix exhibit A6).¹⁷ First, when asked about the health of ECE workers, participants from all sites emphasized the importance of emotional and mental health. Washington focus-group participants talked very little about physical health, other than the adjustment to germs that new teachers face. In contrast, Austin focus-group participants described both mental and physical health challenges of the work and how the two were intertwined. Everyone agreed that the work was emotionally demanding and stressful. Some felt that the workers attracted to the profession were often emotionally vulnerable. When teachers were asked what they did to promote their own health, they focused on stress management techniques when they were off work, such as taking naps, playing with pets, going on walks, and various other techniques to "mellow out and let everything go," as one teacher put it.

Second, societal and parental disrespect were consistently described as a key source of stress for ECE workers. A phrase that was often repeated during the focus group was that "you better love your job," which implies that there were few rewards—monetary or otherwise—for doing it. ECE workers viewed their work as undervalued in terms of compensation, status in society, and daily recognition from parents. The low status of their jobs seemed particularly unfair, given the growing scientific evidence on the importance of high-quality care early in life.

Third, all of the directors we spoke to wanted to support their teachers' mental and physical health, but staffing and other resource constraints made that difficult. Specific constraints to providing flexibility and support included not having assistant teachers or floating staff members, not offering paid sick days, and not having the financial resources to choose to have class sizes below the maximum allowed by regulations. Teachers described a wide degree of variation in support for their health from centers and directors. Even when centers provided supports such as paid sick leave, workers often felt they could not take advantage of them due to staffing limitations. While parents were told to keep children home with symptoms of sickness (for example, a high fever), workers generally did not have the luxury of staying home.

Discussion

This analysis examined early care and education workers' health and center characteristics overall and as a function of wage. We added to the emerging but limited research on ECE workforce health. Our data indicate that ECE workers earn Demographic characteristics of 366 early care and education (ECE) workers, by hourly wage, 2017

		Workers whose	Workers whose hourly wage is:		
	All workers	Below the site median (n = 175)	At or above the site median (n = 179)	p value	
Sex Female Male	341 22	165 9	164 13	0.401	
Mean age (years)	37	33	41	<0.001	
Race White Black or African American Asian Other	229 52 29 28	93 33 15 15	130 13 16 12	0.008	
Ethnicity Hispanic Non-Hispanic	76 282	42 131	28 145	0.061	
Education Less than high school High school graduate or GED Some college in ECE Associate or bachelor's degree Master's degree or higher	7 59 60 201 27	5 51 30 81 3	1 6 29 114 22	<0.001	
Marital status Never married Married Divorced, separated, widowed, or other	165 135 57	107 42 23	55 86 33	<0.001	
Mean number in household	2.75	2.75	2.68	0.585	
Mean household income (\$)ª	54,210	36,893	69,871	<0.001	
Median hourly wage (\$)	15	13	17.5	< 0.001	
Has health insurance ^b Yes No	331 35	150 25	171 8	0.001	
Receives any food assistance ^c Yes No	84 282	58 117	24 155	<0.001	
Mean years worked in ECE	10	6	14	<0.001	
Member of a professional society ^d Yes No	64 277	18 141	41 130	0.003	
Job title Center director Program coordinator Lead teacher Teacher Assistant teacher Other ^e	29 17 122 95 78 25	4 1 44 54 63 9	22 16 73 39 13 16	<0.001	

SOURCE Authors' analysis of data from the ECE worker survey from the study. **NOTES** Survey responses do not always sum to the full sample size because participants did not answer or chose to skip a survey response. Twelve records without wage data were not included in the wage columns. Chi-square tests were used for categorical variables, t-tests for continuous variables, and median regression for comparison of medians for computation of *p* values. Appendix exhibits A1 and A3 contain more detailed descriptions of the survey and percentages and standard deviations of the data in the table (see note 17 in text). ^aCombined incomes of all members of the household ages fifteen and older (including the ECE worker) over the past twelve months. This includes money from jobs; net income from business, farm, or rent; pensions; dividends; interest; Social Security payments; and any other monetary income. ^bDetailed findings on health insurance are in exhibit 2. ^cIncludes Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (n = 43); the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) (n = 27); farmers market WIC program (n = 6); food bank (n = 15); reduced-price or free school lunch (n = 32); and other (n = 1). People participating in more than one program were counted only once. ^dSuch as the National Association for the Education of Young Children, the National Association for Family Child Care, and the National Institute on Out-of-School Time. ^eSomething else, including two aides.

EXHIBIT 2

Health insurance status of 366 early care and education (ECE) workers, by hourly wage, 2017

	Workers whose hourly wage is:			
	All workers	Below the site median	At or above the site median	p value
Has health insurance Yes No	331 35	150 25	171 8	0.001
Insured through employer Yes No	208 158	84 91	117 62	0.001
Covered by spouse's or partner's insurance Yes No	44 322	13 162	30 149	0.009
Has Apple Health, Medicaid, or similar government-assisted insurance Yes No	39 327	27 148	11 168	0.006
Has Medicare Yes No	4 362	2 173	2 177	a
Covered by the Department of Veterans Affairs Yes No	0 366	0 175	0 179	a
Purchased insurance directly from the company Yes No	17 349	13 162	4 175	0.026
Covered by the Indian Health Service Yes No	0 366	0 175	0 179	a
Has other insurance Yes No	16 350	11 164	4 175	0.068

SOURCE Authors' analysis of data from the ECE worker survey from the study. **NOTES** Sample sizes are in exhibit 1. Survey responses do not always sum to the full sample size because participants did not answer or chose to skip a survey response. Twelve records without wage data are not included in the wage columns. Chi-square tests, *t*-tests, and median regression were used as explained in the notes to exhibit 1. Appendix exhibits A1 and A3 contain more detailed descriptions of the survey and percentages and standard deviations of the data in the table (see note 17 in text). Apple Health is the name for Medicaid in Washington State. *Not applicable because there were too few respondents in some cells to reliably compute statistics.

low wages and experience poor mental wellbeing and high food insecurity, with more mixed results for physical well-being and health behaviors. We found associations between lower wages and centers that served fewer children, had fewer employees, had lower student enrollment fees, served more subsidized families, and offered fewer employee benefits. Focus-group findings helped contextualize these results. Teachers and directors described the stress of caring for young children and depicted a workforce whose members felt undervalued by society. Directors felt constrained in the health supports they could offer workers while simultaneously offering affordable care for children. They felt that these factors, combined with low wages and the lack of flexibility on the job, contributed to poor worker mental well-being.

Mental well-being and food insecurity rates in our sample were much worse than population norms yet similar to other ECE samples. While depression rates are known to be higher in women and lower-income people, depression rates in our sample (40 percent fell into clinically significant categories of depression, data shown in online appendix exhibit A4)¹⁷ were double the prevalence of depression found in a nationally representative US sample of women with family incomes below 100 percent of the federal poverty level (40 percent versus 20 percent) and nearly quadruple the prevalence found in women overall (10.4 percent).²⁶ This rate also exceeds the 26 percent prevalence of depressive symptoms found in low-wage nursing home employees, a group of low-wage workers whose members also experience emotionally and mentally stressful

EXHIBIT 3

Mental and physical well-being of 366 early care and education	(ECE) workers, by hourly wage, 2017
--	-------------------------------------

		Workers whos	e hourly wage is:	
	All workers	Below the site median	At or above the site median	p value
MENTAL WELL-BEING				
Mean depression CESD-R score [®] Categorical depression based on CESD-R score: [®]	15.79	16.78	14.97	0.125 0.084
Major, probable, or possible depression Subthreshold depression ^b No clinically significant depression Mean perceived stress score ^c	26 120 213 23.6	18 57 95 24.7	8 58 111 22.8	0.022
Categorical stress based on perceived stress score:	2010	2	22.0	0.082
Low stress Moderate stress	118 217	46 110	68 99	
High stress Mean SF-12ª Mental Health Subscale scores	25	14	11	
Vitality	48.69	48.96	48.43	0.594
Social functioning Mental health	44.66 46.56	42.95 46.25	46.19 46.74	0.007 0.670
PHYSICAL WELL-BEING	10100	10120		0.07 0
Workers told by a doctor they had:				
High blood pressure				0.240
Yes	62	26	35	
No	304	149	144	
High cholesterol				0.032
Yes	54	19	34	
No	312	156	145	
Diabetes				0.230
Yes	25	9	15	
No	341	166	164	
Mean BMI ^e	29.08	28.74	30.06	0.042
Categorical BMI. ^e	C	4	2	0.754
Underweight	6	4	2	
Normal	133	65	65	
Overweight Obese	96 119	45 53	46 62	
Mean SF-12 ^d Physical Health Subscale scores	119	22	02	
Physical functioning	50.27	50.37	50.23	0.890
Bodily pain	46.94	47.19	47.19	0.999
General health	43.96	42.51	45.15	0.034
		. 2.3 .		0.00 .

SOURCE Authors' analysis of data from the ECE worker survey from the study. **NOTES** Sample sizes are in exhibit 1. Survey responses do not always sum to the full sample size because participants did not answer or chose to skip a survey response. Twelve records without wage data are not included in the wage columns. Chi-square and t-tests were used as explained in the notes to exhibit 1. Appendix exhibits A1 and A4 contain more detailed descriptions of measures and percentages and standard deviations of the data in the table (see note 17 in text). "The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale—Revised (CESD-R) depression score is determined by responses to twenty questions and ranges from 0 to 60, with lower scores indicating fewer depressive symptoms. "See the appendix for an explanation of "subthreshold." "The Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)—14 score is determined by responses to fourteen questions and ranges from 0 to 56 (low stress: <20, moderate stress: 20–36; high stress: >36). "The 12-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) consists of twelve items. Subscales range from 0 to 100, with higher scores representing above-average health status, and are designed to have a mean score of 50 with a standard deviation of 10 in a representative sample of the US population. ""Underweight" is defined as having a body mass index (BMI) of <18.5 kg=m². "Normal" weight, "overweight," and "obese" are defined as having BMIs of 18.5–24.9, 25.0–29.9, and 30.0 or more, respectively.

working conditions.²⁷ Yet our finding is consistent with that found in an ECE worker population in North Carolina (36 percent).³ Consistent with these findings, the SF-12 mental health subscales in our population were all below average (exhibit 3). The average stress score in our sample (23.6) indicated slightly more stress than population norms (19.6).²⁸ However, our sample

had less stress than a comparable group of family home ECE providers: 60 percent of our respondents had moderate stress and 7 percent of them had high stress (data shown in online appendix exhibit A4),¹⁷ while 63 percent of the family home providers had high stress, as measured by the Perceived Stress Scale—10.⁹

The results of this study offer a look at the

EXHIBIT 4

Food security and health behaviors of 366 early care and education (ECE) workers, by hourly wage, 2017

		Workers whose	e hourly wage is:		
	All workers	Below the site median	At or above the site median	p value	
FOOD SECURITY					
Mean food security score ^a Categorical food security score ^a High security or marginal security	1.83 212	2.23 86	1.44 119	0.001 0.002	
Low security Very low security	75 71	39 45	34 23		
HEALTH BEHAVIORS					
Mean number of times per day ate: ^b Fruit and vegetables Dairy products Sweets Mean MET minutes per week ^c	2.33 0.92 1.22 3.477	2.13 0.92 1.32 3.731	2.57 0.94 1.13 3,301	0.002 0.776 0.128 0.310	
Categorical physical activity based on MET minutes per week ^c Low activity Moderate activity	51 171	22 75	25 91	0.294	
High activity Mean hours usually slept per night Categorical sleep per night:	138 6.50	73 6.60	62 6.41	0.122 0.419	
Slept 7 or more hours Slept less than 7 hours Used tobacco in the past 30 days ^d	180 179	90 81	85 91	0.826	
Yes No	47 316	24 150	23 154	0.599	
Smoked e-cigarettes in the past 30 days ^d Yes No	20 345	11 163	9 170	0.255	

SOURCE Authors' analysis of data from the ECE worker survey from the study. **NOTES** Sample sizes are in exhibit 1. Survey responses do not always sum to the full sample size because participants did not answer or chose to skip a survey response. Twelve records without wage data are not included in the wage columns. Chi-square and t-tests were used as explained in the notes to exhibit 1. Appendix exhibits A1 and A4 contain more detailed descriptions of measures and percentages and standard deviations of the data in the table (see note 17 in text). "The score is determined using the six-item US Household Food Security Survey Module. Raw scores range from 0 to 6 (high security or marginal security: 0 or 1; low security: 2–4; very low security: 5 or 6). "The Dietary Screener Questionnaire asks respondents, "During the past month, how often did you eat..." for a number of food items. We grouped responses into food groups and calculated the number of times per day foods from each group were eaten. "The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (long version) asks twenty-seven questions about five activity domains. The instrument produces outcome measures in weekly energy expenditures by intensity (MET) minutes per week. "We used National Health Interview Survey questions to ask participants how often they had smoked or used e-cigarettes in the past thirty days.

remarkably high food insecurity rates in ECE workers, especially in lower-wage workers. Food insecurity was an issue for 42 percent of our sample, compared with US food insecurity rates of 11.8 percent.²⁹ A 2017 Arkansas workforce study of instructional ECE workers also recently found a 40 percent food insecurity rate overall, with a 50 percent rate in workers who cared primarily for younger children (that is, infants and toddlers).³⁰ Moreover, very low food security-which indicates multiple disruptions to regular eating patterns, reduced food intake, and hunger-was experienced by 20 percent of our sample, as compared with 4.5 percent in the US population.²⁹ Food insecurity is consistently negatively associated with health, including increased rates of mental health problems, depression, and chronic health conditions.³¹ In a sample of low-wage nursing home employees, 49 percent experienced food insufficiency sometimes and 67 percent experienced it often, and this was associated with depressive symptoms but not financial strain.²⁷

The chronic disease rates in our sample were better than population norms and rates in recent ECE worker studies. While two such studies found rates of 55–65 percent for obesity and 22–24 percent for overweight, this study found that only 34 percent of workers were obese and 27 percent were overweight—rates that are also lower than the US population prevalence of 40 percent for obesity and 32 percent for over-

Characteristics of the centers of 366 early care and education (ECE) workers, by hourly wage, 2017

		Workers whose hourly wage is:		
	All workers	Below the site median	At or above the site median	p value
Number of children 50 or fewer 51–75 More than 75	105 104 138	56 58 56	43 44 78	0.028
Number of employees 14 or fewer 15–30 More than 30	101 167 76	52 90 25	43 75 47	0.012
Average hourly wage of full-time employees \$7.00-\$13.00 \$13.01-\$15.00 \$15.01-\$21.00	79 127 154	56 58 57	19 65 94	<0.001
Monthly enrollment fee for a 4-year-old \$750 or less \$751-\$1,250 \$1,251-\$2,100	44 167 135	28 82 55	11 80 80	0.003
Profit status Nonprofit For profit Affiliated with community college or university	165 148 38	77 73 16	83 73 17	0.946
Center participates in CACFP	155	93	52	< 0.001
Center participates in state QRIS	286	144	131	0.040
Center accredited by NAEYC	91	41	48	0.463
Center accepts state-level subsidiesª	309	153	144	0.074
Center has at least one child who is enrolled in a state-level subsidy program	258	134	112	0.017
Center has more than 25% of enrolled children in a state-level subsidy program	94	64	26	<0.001
Center accepts city-level subsidies⁵	183	88	85	0.598
Center has at least one child enrolled in a city-level subsidy program	112	51	51	0.804
Center offers health insurance Yes No	252 101	110 65	134 32	<0.001
Center offers paid sick leave Yes No	321 45	141 34	170 9	<0.001
Center offers parental or family leave Yes No	281 60	116 50	156 7	<0.001
Among centers that offer parental or family leave: Offers unpaid leave Offers paid leave	198 83	88 28	104 52	0.100
Minimum education required for teachers High school diploma or GED More than high school diploma or GED	278 88	132 43	139 40	0.621

SOURCE Authors' analysis of data from the center director questionnaire in the study survey. **NOTES** Sample sizes are in exhibit 1. Survey responses do not always sum to the full sample size because participants did not answer or chose to skip a survey response. Chi-square tests were used as explained in the notes to exhibit 1. Appendix exhibits A1 and A5 contain more detailed descriptions of the source survey and percentages and standard deviations for the data in the table (see note 17 in text). A fuller version of this exhibit appears in the appendix. CACFP is Child and Adult Care Food Program. QRIS is Quality Rating and Improvement System. NAEYC is National Association for the Education of Young Children. ^aIncludes the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services and the Texas Child Care Assistance Program and the Texas Workforce Solutions Capital Area Child Care Services.

weight.^{3,9,32} However, these studies used measured height and weight to calculate body mass index, while our values were based on selfreported data, which are known to underreport

obesity. Similarly, the 17 percent in this study who reported that a doctor had diagnosed them as having high blood pressure was lower than the US population norm (29 percent) and a recent ECE worker sample (36 percent).^{3,33} Doctordiagnosed diabetes was also lower than the US population norm (7.0 percent versus 9.4 percent).³⁴ These findings raise the hypothesis that differences in physical well-being might be more associated with geographic region (in other words, "place matters"), while similarities in mental well-being findings suggest that social and emotional health might be more tied to the job for this workforce.

In terms of health behaviors and self-rated physical health, our findings were mixed. Our sample reported eating fruit and vegetables 2.3 times per day (exhibit 4), slightly lower than the 2.6 times reported in another ECE worker sample.³ Our sample reported much higher levels of aerobic activity than the US population norm and a recent ECE study (86 percent versus 53 percent and 28 percent meeting recommendations, respectively).^{3,35} However, our study used selfreported data, while the other ECE study used objective accelerometer data.3 ECE workers in our study averaged 6.5 hours of sleep a night, slightly less than national recommendations of 7-8 hours but similar to the results in two ECE worker studies.^{3,9} The SF-12 physical health subscales indicated that, on average, our sample scores were similar to population norms in terms of physical functioning but worse in terms of pain and general health.

Interesting trends emerged when we examined workers' center characteristics overall and by wage. In particular, lower-wage workers worked at centers with lower tuition rates and with more low-income, subsidized families and that were less likely to offer health-related benefits. Other studies have found that wage increases are constrained by family tuition rates and other program costs, such as workers' benefits and the number of enrolled subsidized families.^{36,37} In particular, ECE centers that raise family tuition rates to increase wages risk reducing enrollments of low-income families through either self-selection or center caps.³⁷ This is because state- and city-level subsidies often do not fully cover tuition costs for low-income families, and centers or families are faced with having to offset deficits. Together, this suggests that there are equity issues at play that might affect health, for both workers and children. In the focus groups, directors described how they as employers could support healthier and more equitable environments through initiatives that partially paid workers' wages, fully covered workers' health benefits, or subsidized families.

Policy Implications

The cross-sectional nature of these baseline data and our use of descriptive analyses prevent us from making causal conclusions. Nonetheless, our findings have several policy implications. Overall, wage level was positively associated with multiple dimensions of workers' health. Policies that raised wages without creating other unintended consequences (for example, reduced enrollment of subsidized families) could better support workers' health and the quality of early care and education for children. For example, in the period 2000-2003, Washington State funds were used to pay additional wages to ECE workers based on educational advancement.³⁸ This resulted in significantly more positive interactions between children and teachers in the pilot sites, compared to those in the comparison sites.³⁸ Raising wages might be particularly helpful in reducing teachers' food insecurity, if those wages contributed to higher family income.³⁹ A greater effort to mandate the provision of meals to both children and teachers would also be a possible approach to improving teachers' food security and modeling healthy eating for children.

The high rates of depression, even among the higher-paid teachers, may require more targeted interventions. This finding supports current efforts to invest in mental health consultants to work with teachers, directors, and parents to develop strategies to help children who are struggling with behavioral problems. It is likely that interventions using such consultants may also improve the well-being of the workers by reducing stress and making their jobs easier, but this hypothesis would need to be tested.

More broadly, our results suggest that the culture of health in ECE settings and equity-related outcomes could be improved by helping centers provide support and flexibility to teachers managing their own health in the context of demanding work. These resources could include offsetting the cost of workers' benefits, reducing teacher-to-child ratios to reduce stress, or subsidizing floater staff members. For centers receiving state or local subsidies, this investment could be partially accomplished by reimbursing full tuition for subsidized families. This research was supported by a Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Evidence for Action grant (No. 74458) awarded to Jennifer Otten, a REDCap grant (No. UL1 TR002319) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health to the University of Washington, and a Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development research infrastructure grant (No. P2C HD042828) awarded to the Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology at the University of Washington. The authors thank the individuals and child care centers who generously shared their time to participate in this study. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

NOTES

- Whitebook M, McLean C, Austin LJE, Edwards B. Early childhood workforce index 2018 [Internet]. Berkeley (CA): University of California Berkeley Center for the Study of Child Care Employment; 2018 [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: http://cscce.berkeley.edu/ files/2018/06/Early-Childhood-Workforce-Index-2018.pdf
- **2** Institute of Medicine, National Research Council. The early childhood care and education workforce: challenges and opportunities: a workshop report. Washington (DC): National Academies Press; 2012.
- 3 Linnan L, Arandia G, Bateman LA, Vaughn A, Smith N, Ward D. The health and working conditions of women employed in child care. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017; 14(3):E283.
- 4 Whitebook M, Phillips D, Howes C. Worthy work, STILL unlivable wages: the early childhood workforce 25 years after the National Child Care Staffing Study [Internet]. Berkeley (CA): University of California Berkeley Center for the Study of Child Care Employment; 2014 [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: http://cscce.berkeley.edu/files/ 2014/ReportFINAL.pdf
- 5 Bureau of Labor Statistics. Occupational outlook handbook: childcare workers [Internet]. Washington (DC): BLS; [last updated 2018 Jun 11; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://www.bls.gov/ooh/ personal-care-and-service/childcareworkers.htm
- 6 Evans GW, English K. The environment of poverty: multiple stressor exposure, psychophysiological stress, and socioemotional adjustment. Child Dev. 2002;73(4): 1238-48.
- 7 Kanjilal S, Gregg EW, Cheng YJ, Zhang P, Nelson DE, Mensah G, et al. Socioeconomic status and trends in disparities in 4 major risk factors for cardiovascular disease among US adults, 1971–2002. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(21):2348–55.
- 8 Singh GK, Siahpush M. Widening socioeconomic inequalities in US life expectancy, 1980–2000. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35(4):969–79.
- 9 Tovar A, Vaughn AE, Grummon A,

Burney R, Erinosho T, Østbye T, et al. Family child care home providers as role models for children: cause for concern? Prev Med Rep. 2016;5:308–13.

- 10 Sandilos LE, Cycyk LM, Hammer CS, Sawyer BE, López L, Blair C. Depression, control, and climate: an examination of factors impacting teaching quality in preschool classrooms. Early Educ Dev. 2015;26(8): 1111–27.
- **11** Cumming T. Early childhood educators' well-being: an updated review of the literature. Early Child Educ J. 2017;45(5):583–93.
- 12 Sharma S, Dortch KS, Byrd-Williams C, Truxillio JB, Rahman GA, Bonsu P, et al. Nutrition-related knowledge, attitudes, and dietary behaviors among Head Start teachers in Texas: a cross-sectional study. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2013;113(4):558–62.
- **13** Whitaker RC, Becker BD, Herman AN, Gooze RA. The physical and mental health of Head Start staff: the Pennsylvania Head Start Staff Wellness Survey, 2012. Prev Chronic Dis. 2013;10:E181.
- Gratz RR, Claffey A. Adult health in child care: health status, behaviors, and concerns of teachers, directors, and family child care providers. Early Child Res Q. 1996;11(2): 243–67.
- 15 Baldwin D, Gaines S, Wold JL, Williams A, Leary J. The health of female child care providers: implications for quality of care. J Community Health Nurs. 2007;24(1): 1–17.
- 16 Dubowitz T, Orleans T, Nelson C, May LW, Sloan JC, Chandra A. Creating healthier, more equitable communities by improving governance and policy. Health Aff (Millwood). 2016;35(11):1970–5.
- 17 To access the appendix, click on the Details tab of the article online.
- 18 Ware JE Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. SF-12: how to score the SF-12 physical and mental health summary scales. 2nd ed. [Internet]. Boston (MA): Health Institute; 1995 Dec [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available for download from: https://www .researchgate.net/ publication/242636950
- **19** Eaton WW, Smith C, Ybarra M,

Muntaner C, Tien A. Center for Epidemiological Depression Scale: review and revision (CESD and CESD-R). In: Maruish ME, editor. The use of psychological testing for treatment planning and outcomes assessment. 3rd ed. Mahwah (NJ): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004. 3:363–77.

- **20** Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24(4): 385–96.
- 21 Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. U.S. Household Food Security Survey Module: six-item short form [Internet]. Washington (DC): USDA; 2012 Sep [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://www.ers.usda.gov/ media/8282/short2012.pdf
- 22 National Center for Health Statistics. National Health Interview Survey [Internet]. Hyattsville (MD): NCHS [last updated 2019 Mar 20; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/hhis/
- 23 Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003;35(8):1381–95.
- 24 National Cancer Institute. Epidemiology and Genomics Research Program: Dietary Screener Questionnaires (DSQ) in the NHANES 2009-10: DSQ [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): NCI; [last updated 2018 Feb 13; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/ nhanes/dietscreen/questionnaires .html
- **25** Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. 1989;28(2):193–213.
- 26 Brody DJ, Pratt LA, Hughes JP. Prevalence of depression among adults aged 20 and over: United States, 2013–2016 [Internet]. Hyattsville (MD): National Center for Health Statistics; 2018 Feb [cited 2019 Mar 27]. (NCHS Data Brief No. 303). Available from: https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/ db303.pdf

- 27 Okechukwu CA, El Ayadi AM, Tamers SL, Sabbath EL, Berkman L. Household food insufficiency, financial strain, work-family spillover, and depressive symptoms in the working class: the Work, Family, and Health Network study. Am J Public Health. 2012;102(1):126–33.
- 28 Pierceall EA, Keim MC. Stress and coping strategies among community college students. Community Coll J Res Pract. 2007;31(9):703–12.
- 29 Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service. Food security and nutrition assistance [Internet]. Washington (DC): USDA; [last updated 2018 Sep 13; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://www .ers.usda.gov/data-products/ag-andfood-statistics-charting-theessentials/food-security-andnutrition-assistance/
- 30 McKelvey L, Forsman A, Morrison-Ward J. Arkansas workforce study: instructional staff in child care & early childhood education, 2017 [Internet]. Little Rock (AR): University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences; [cited 2018 Mar 27]. Available from: https://familymedicine.uams .edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/57/ 2018/04/Staff-Workforce-Study-Report_FINAL.pdf
- **31** Gundersen C, Ziliak JP. Food insecurity and health outcomes. Health Aff (Millwood). 2015;34(11):1830–9.

- **32** National Center for Health Statistics. Obesity and overweight [Internet]. Hyattsville (MD): NCHS; [last reviewed 2016 Jun 13; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://www .cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/obesity-over weight.htm
- 33 Fryar CD, Ostchega Y, Hales CM, Zhang G, Kruszon-Moran D. Hypertension prevalence and control among adults: United States, 2015-2016 [Internet]. Hyattsville (MD): National Center for Health Statistics; 2017 Oct [cited 2019 Mar 27]. (NCHS Data Brief No. 289). Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/data/databriefs/db289.pdf
- **34** Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnosed diabetes [Internet]. Atlanta (GA); CDC; 2018 Mar [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/ data/nhis/earlyrelease/EarlyRelease 201803_14.pdf
- **35** National Center for Health Statistics. Exercise or physical activity [Internet]. Hyattsville (MD): NCHS; [last reviewed 2017 Jan 20; cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https:// www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/ exercise.htm
- **36** Keenan NV. Between a rock and a hard place: King County's child care crisis [Internet]. Seattle (WA): Puget Sound Sage; 2014 Aug [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://

pugetsoundsage.org/wp-content/ uploads/2016/09/Between-a-Rockand-a-Hard-Place.pdf

- **37** Otten JJ, Getts K, Althauser A, Buszkiewicz J, Jardim E, Hill HD, et al. Responding to an increased minimum wage: a mixed methods study of child care businesses during the implementation of Seattle's minimum wage ordinance. Social Work and Society International Online Journal [serial on the Internet]. 2018;16(1) [cited 2019 Apr 3]. Available from: https://www .socwork.net/sws/article/view/538/ 1063
- Boyd BJ, Wandschneider MR.
 Washington State Child Care Career and Wage Ladder Pilot Project: phase 2: final evaluation report [Internet].
 Olympia (WA): Washington State
 Department of Social and Health
 Services; 2004 Mar [cited 2019 Mar 27]. Available from: https://del.wa
 .gov/sites/default/files/imported/
 publications/research/docs/Career
 WageLadder_2004.pdf
- **39** Otten JJ, Buszkiewicz J, Tang W, Aggarwal A, Long M, Vigdor J, et al. The impact of a city-level minimumwage policy on supermarket food prices in Seattle-King County. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017; 14(9):E1039.